

Application News

Analysis of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Drinking Water in Accordance with EPA Method 537.1

Yui Higashi and Nami Iwasa

User Benefits

- ◆ Based on EPA Method 537.1, 18 PFAS in drinking water can be accurately analyzed at concentrations equivalent to EPA's Final MCLs.
- ◆ PFAS analysis can be done immediately by using the LC/MS/MS Method Package for PFAS in Drinking Water, minimizing the effort required to set up an analytical system.

Introduction

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are organofluorine compounds that are used in a wide range of consumer products and other applications due to their water repellency, heat resistance, chemical resistance, and other beneficial properties. However, their extremely high chemical stability prevents them from breaking down easily. Consequently, due to their persistence in the environment and possible toxicity to biological organisms, some PFAS have been designated as being subject to the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs Convention), which restricts their manufacture and use. In recent years, there have been efforts to strengthen PFAS restrictions and determine their actual levels, resulting in a need to standardize the methods used to analyze them.

In April 2024, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced the following final maximum contaminant levels (Final MCLs) for certain PFAS in drinking water,¹⁾ 4 ng/L PFOA, 4 ng/L PFOS, 10 ng/L PFHxS, 10 ng/L PFNA, and 10 ng/L HFPO-DA.

This article describes the results from using the LC/MS/MS Method Package for PFAS in Drinking Water to simultaneously analyze 18 PFAS target compounds in drinking water in accordance with EPA Method 537.1,²⁾ which was published by the EPA in 2018.

Analyte List

The compounds targeted for measurement included 18 types of target compounds specified in EPA Method 537.1, 3 types of internal standard (IS) substances, and 4 types of surrogate compounds. The measured compounds are listed in Table 1.

Sample Preparation

Samples were pretreated in accordance with EPA Method 537.1. 10 µL of a standard surrogate mixture solution and 1.25 g of Trizma were added to water samples and extracted using a solid phase column containing styrenedivinylbenzene (SDVB) polymeric sorbent phase. A GL Sciences AL898 Aqua Loader unit with an aspiration delivery kit for PFAS extraction was used to pass the sample water through the solid phase column. After fully drying the eluate solution eluted from the column, it was dissolved in 1 mL of 96 % methanol solution, and an internal standard was added for LC/MS/MS analysis. The pretreatment process flow is shown in Fig. 1.

Analytical Conditions

HPLC and MS analytical conditions are indicated in Table 2. Samples were measured using the LC/MS/MS Method Package for PFAS in Drinking Water. It includes ready-to-use methods that are compliant with EPA methods 533 and 537.1 and the corresponding precautions. This article describes using the method to comply with EPA Method 537.1.

Table 1 Analyte List

Acronym	Compound
Analytes	
HFPO-DA	Hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid
NEtFOSAA	N-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid
NMeFOSAA	N-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid
PFBS	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid
PFDA	Perfluorodecanoic acid
PFDoA	Perfluorododecanoic acid
PFHpA	Perfluoroheptanoic acid
PFHxS	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid
PFHxA	Perfluorohexanoic acid
PFNA	Perfluorononanoic acid
PFOS	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic acid
PFTA	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid
PFTrDA	Perfluorotridecanoic acid
PFUnA	Perfluoroundecanoic acid
11Cl-PF3OUdS	11-chloroeicosafluoro-3-oxaundecane-1-sulfonic acid
9Cl-PF3ONS	9-chlorohexadecafluoro-3-oxanone-1-sulfonic acid
ADONA	4,8-dioxa-3H-perfluorononanoic acid
Internal Standards	
¹³ C ₂ -PFOA	Perfluoro-[1,2- ¹³ C ₂]octanoic acid
¹³ C ₄ -PFOS	Sodium perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4- ¹³ C ₄]octanesulfonate
d ₃ -NMeFOSAA	N-deuteriomethylperfluoro-1-octanesulfonamidoacetic acid
Surrogates	
¹³ C ₂ -PFHxA	Perfluoro-n-[1,2- ¹³ C ₂]hexanoic acid
¹³ C ₂ -PFDA	Perfluoro-n-[1,2- ¹³ C ₂]decanoic acid
d ₅ -NEtFOSAA	N-deuterioethylperfluoro-1-octanesulfonamidoacetic acid
¹³ C ₃ -HFPO-DA	Tetrafluoro-2-heptafluoropropoxy- ¹³ C ₃ -propanoic acid




Fig. 1 Pretreatment Process Flow

Table 2 Analytical Conditions

HPLC (Nexera™-X3)		MS (LCMS-8060RX)	
Analytical Column:	Shim-pack Velox™ SP-C18 (50 mm × 2.1 mm I.D., 2.7 μm, P/N:227-32003-02)	Ionization:	ESI (Negative mode)
Solvent Delay Column:	Shim-pack™ GIST C18 (50 mm × 3.0 mm I.D., 5 μm, P/N 227-30015-03)	Mode:	MRM
Mobile Phase A:	5 mM Ammonium Acetate in reagent water	Nebulizing Gas:	3 L/min
Mobile Phase B:	Methanol	Drying Gas Flow:	5 L/min
Gradient Program:	B 5 % – 40 % (1 min) – 95 % (8 min) – 100 % (8.1-13.0 min) – 5 % (13.1-18.0 min)	Heating Gas Flow:	15 L/min
Flowrate:	0.25 mL/min	DL Temp.:	150 °C
Column Temp.:	45 °C	Block Heater Temp.:	250 °C
Injection Volume:	2 μL	Interface Temp.:	100 °C
Run Time:	18 min	Probe Position:	+1.5 mm

Measuring Standard Solutions

For standard solutions, a standard mixture solution of 18 PFAS (Cat. No. EPA-537APDS, Wellington Laboratories), a standard surrogate solution (Cat. No. EPA-537SS-R1, Wellington Laboratories), and an internal standard solution (Cat. No. EPA-537IS, Wellington Laboratories) were diluted with 96 % methanol to prepare solutions with 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5, 10, and 25 μg/L concentrations. The quantity of the internal standard and surrogate substances added conformed to EPA Method 537.1. The successive five injections were made per concentration.

MRM chromatograms for the lowest calibration curve concentrations (0.05 μg/L in solution) of the 18 target PFAS are shown in Fig. 2. Good chromatograms were confirmed for each component.

The coefficient of correlation (R) and the area repeatability at the lowest calibration curve concentration (0.05 μg/L) are listed in Table 3. The values show that good linearity was obtained with coefficient of correlation (R) > 0.997 for all compounds. They also confirm good repeatability at the lowest calibration curve concentration (0.05 μg/L) with area %RSD < 11 % (Table 3).

Fig. 3 shows the accuracy of concentrations at each calibration point. It shows that those are within ±30 % of the specified concentration. Calibration curve examples for PFOA and PFOS are shown in Fig. 4.

Table 3 Correlation Coefficient of Calibration Curve and Area Repeatability at Calibration Curve Lowest Concentration

Compound	Retention Time (min)	Correlation Coefficient (R)	0.05 μg/L Standard Solution Area %RSD (% , n = 5)
PFBS	3.595	0.9975	1.4
PFHxA	4.108	0.9986	4.3
HFPO-DA	4.301	0.9986	5.8
PFHpA	4.777	0.9984	2.0
PFHxS	4.837	0.9973	9.6
ADONA	4.874	0.9983	3.0
PFOA	5.382	0.9986	2.3
PFOS	5.932	0.9984	3.9
PFNA	5.918	0.9987	7.6
9CI-PF3ONS	6.224	0.9981	4.6
PFDA	6.395	0.9988	5.5
NMeFOSAA	6.626	0.9990	3.3
PFUnA	6.813	0.9986	5.0
NEtFOSAA	6.844	0.9984	10.7
11Cl-PF3OUdS	7.023	0.9977	8.1
PFDoA	7.177	0.9987	5.7
PFTrDA	7.503	0.9987	3.8
PFTA	7.795	0.9985	3.3




Fig. 2 MRM Chromatograms at Lowest Calibration Curve Concentration (0.05 μg/L)




Fig. 3 Accuracy of Each Calibration Curve Concentration




Fig. 4 MRM Chromatogram (0.05 µg/L in Solution) and Calibration Curve for PFOA (Left) and PFOS (Right)

■ Spike-and-Recovery Tests Using Ultrapure Water

Seven samples each were prepared by spiking with “Low” (1 ng/L in water, 0.25 µg/L in solution) or “High” (4 ng/L in water, 1 µg/L in solution) concentrations to ultrapure water, and pretreated according to the procedure shown in Fig. 1. The spike recovery rates and concentration repeatability from spike-and-recovery tests using ultrapure water are shown in Fig. 5.

Good recovery rates between 93 and 109 % were obtained for all compounds in low- and high-concentration spiked samples, and good repeatability results were also obtained, with concentration %RSD (n = 7) < 10 %.

■ Spike-and-Recovery Tests Using Drinking Water

Spike-and-recovery tests were performed using drinking water samples. Two drinking water samples spiked with 4 ng/L in water (1 µg/L in solution) of target compounds were prepared according to the process shown in Fig. 1 to obtain a Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix (LFSM) and a Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix Duplicate (LFSMD). Variability was evaluated based on relative percent difference (RPD) calculated from the above results. For the RPD calculation method, refer to EPA Method 537.1.

The recovery rates and RPD for the LFSM and LFSMD solutions are indicated in Fig. 6. It shows good results with recovery rates within the 84 to 101 % range. For %RSD < 6 %, good results were also obtained from the drinking water spike-and-recovery tests.




Fig. 5 Spike-and-Recovery Test Results Using Ultrapure Water




Fig. 6 Spike-and-Recovery Test Results Using Drinking Water

■ Conclusion

By using an LCMS-8060RX liquid chromatograph mass spectrometer and the LC/MS/MS Method Package for PFAS in Drinking Water, 18 PFAS in drinking water were measured in accordance with EPA Method 537.1.

The above set up was able to measure concentration levels that were one-tenth those published by the EPA as Final MCLs (4 ng/L PFOA, 4 ng/L PFOS, 10 ng/L PFHxS, 10 ng/L PFNA, and 10 ng/L HFPO-DA).

Based on spike-and-recovery test results using ultrapure water, good recovery rates and repeatability results were obtained when spiking the ultrapure water with the equivalent of 1/4 the Final MCLs (1 ng/L in sample water). Similarly, good recovery rates and repeatability results were obtained when spiking drinking water samples with the Final MCLs (4 ng/L in sample water). These results confirm that PFAS compounds can be simultaneously analyzed in accordance with EPA Method 537.1 with good accuracy.

In addition, the LC/MS/MS Method Package for PFAS in Drinking Water makes it easy to set up an analytical system, and it enables accurate analysis.

Reference Documents

- 1) Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)
Final PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation
<https://www.epa.gov/sdwa/and-polyfluoroalkyl-substances-pfas>
- 2) USEPA. Method 537.1, Detections of Selected Per- and Polyfluorinated Alkyl Substances in Drinking Water by Solid Phase Extraction and Liquid Chromatography/ Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). Version 2.0, March 2020
<https://www.epa.gov/water-research/epa-drinking-water-research-methods>

Nexera, Shim-pack, and Shim-pack Velox are registered trademarks of Shimadzu Corporation or its affiliates in Japan and other countries.



SHIMADZU

Shimadzu Corporation

www.shimadzu.com/an/

For Research Use Only. Not for use in diagnostic procedures.

This publication may contain references to products that are not available in your country. Please contact us to check the availability of these products in your country.
The content of this publication shall not be reproduced, altered or sold for any commercial purpose without the written approval of Shimadzu. See <http://www.shimadzu.com/about/trademarks/index.html> for details.
Third party trademarks and trade names may be used in this publication to refer to either the entities or their products/services, whether or not they are used with trademark symbol "TM" or "®".
Shimadzu disclaims any proprietary interest in trademarks and trade names other than its own.
The information contained herein is provided to you "as is" without warranty of any kind including without limitation warranties as to its accuracy or completeness. Shimadzu does not assume any responsibility or liability for any damage, whether direct or indirect, relating to the use of this publication. This publication is based upon the information available to Shimadzu on or before the date of publication, and subject to change without notice.

01-00808-EN

First Edition: Oct. 2024